



New Zealand Geographic Board
Ngā Pou Taunaha o Aotearoa (Board)

MINUTES | KŌRERO

Venue | Wāhi: Online via Microsoft Teams

Wednesday | Rāapa
20 October | Whiringa-ā-nuku 2021
Duration: 9.05am-5.14pm

NOTE | KIA MAHARA:

All information recorded in these minutes relating to Treaty of Waitangi settlement place name proposals is confidential and is not available to the public. Some of the information may become available after Deeds of Settlement are signed.

General | Hei kōrero whānui

1. Karakia | Prayer Welcome | Whakatau

The Chairperson welcomed hui participants. Waihoroi Shortland opened the hui with a karakia. The Chairperson advised that short breaks would be taken every 90 minutes.

2. Present | Te hunga i tae mai Apologies | Ngā whakapāha

Board members (10)	
Anselm Haanen, Chairperson (until 4.10pm)	Surveyor-General, Toitū Te Whenua Land Information New Zealand ex-officio
Jenni Vernon	Minister for Land Information appointment
Shaun Barnett	Federated Mountain Clubs of NZ Inc nomination
Chanel Clarke	Minister of Māori Development appointment
Shane Te Ruki	Minister of Māori Development appointment
Robin Kearns (until 5.02pm)	New Zealand Geographical Society nomination
Bonita Bigham	Local Government NZ nomination
Paulette Tamati-Elliffe (until 4.02pm)	Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Tahu (TRONT) nomination
Merata Kawharu (left between 10.15am and 11.00am)	Minister for Land Information appointment
Adam Greenland (from 1.15pm, left between 1.53pm and 3.10pm, Chairperson from 4.10pm)	National Hydrographer, Toitū Te Whenua Land Information New Zealand ex officio

Board Secretariat	
Wendy Shaw	Secretary
Christopher Stephens	Advisor
Jill Remnant	Advisor
Ginny Leggett (until 12.33pm)	Senior Advisor Treaty Names

Observer	
Waihoroi Shortland (until 12.30pm)	Te Pūni Kōkiri (TPK)

Observer Apologies	
Wareko Te Angina	Te Taura Whiri i te Reo Māori (TTWh)
Sheryll Johnson	Te Papa Atawhai Department of Conservation (DOC)
Philip Green	Te Arawhiti

Minute Taker	
Nicole Doriguzzi	GBL Personnel

3. Agenda | Rārangi take

Disclosure of conflicts of interest | He whākinga rongorua

3.1. Agenda | Rārangi take

The agenda was confirmed. The Board noted that the hui is being held online via Microsoft Teams due to the COVID-19 pandemic.

3.2. Disclosure of conflicts of interest | He whākinga rongorua

No conflicts of interest were declared.

4. Previous minutes Thursday 22 July 2021 | Ngā kōrero o te hui o mua Rāpare 22 Hōngongoi 2021

Matters arising | Ngā take i ara ake

Action sheet | Ngā mahi hei whakatutuki

4.1. Previous minutes Thursday 22 July 2021 | Ngā kōrero o te hui o mua Rāpare 22 Hōngongoi 2021

Resolution

That the minutes of 22 July 2021 are ratified. (Linzone ID A4656143).

Moved	Robin Kearns
Seconded	Jenni Vernon
All in favour	
Carried	

4.2. Matters arising | Ngā take i ara ake

There were no matters arising.

4.3. Action sheet | Ngā mahi hei whakatutuki

Actions from 22 July 2021 minutes	Update
6.2 Chairperson to contact Susan Freeman-Green and Stuart Crosby (LGNZ) outlining what the Board wants to achieve and request a letter of support for the budget bid.	Ongoing. Subject to budget bid progress.
6.2 Bonita to speak to DIA ¹ contact about the Reorua strategy and provide contacts to the Secretary.	COMPLETED.
6.2 Chairperson to contact other agencies for their support of a budget bid.	Under action.
6.2 Chairperson and Secretary to meet with the Minister for Land Information to discuss the budget bid.	COMPLETED.

¹ Department of Internal Affairs

Actions from 22 July 2021 minutes	Update
7. Say karakia together at future hui.	COMPLETED. Ongoing.
14.2 Shaun Barnett to provide example costings of FMC Centennial publication for reference.	COMPLETED.
Actions from 20 April 2021 minutes	Update
5. Chairperson to speak with Gaye Searancke (Toitū Te Whenua LINZ Chief Executive) regarding the Minister attending the Board's October hui.	COMPLETED.
7. Shane Te Ruki to prepare a video tutorial for the Māori Language Plan for Board members to help with pronunciation.	Ongoing. The karakia can be clipped from the recording of today's hui. The pepeha is still to be done.
Actions from 14 October 2020 minutes	Update
7. Chairperson to discuss with Toitū Te Whenua LINZ's Chief Executive about adding a schedule to the Toitū Te Whenua LINZ/Board Agreement of the high-level services Toitū Te Whenua LINZ provides to the Board.	Open. Awaiting the conclusion of Toitū Te Whenua LINZ's restructure, mid 2022.
10.2.1 Chairperson to confirm the recommendation, under delegation once advice of support from Te Korowai o Wainuiārua is received.	Open. Awaiting advice from Te Arawhiti.
10.2.1 If Te Korowai o Wainuiārua do not support the proposal then Chairperson to decide on the best course of action.	Open. Awaiting advice from Te Arawhiti.

Action required

- Secretariat to circulate Shane Te Ruki's recorded karakia to the Board.*

5. Hui in 2022 | Hui ā te 2022

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID: A4646720). The Board discussed the proposed hui dates for 2022 and noted:

- The dates will be more spread out than this year to allow enough time to process submissions received on proposals.
- Historically Treaty name proposals have been considered at the mid-year hui but will now be considered at any Board hui.
- Some Board members' terms have expired, and reappointments have been delayed. Under the NZGB Act 2008 membership continues until a replacement is gazetted.
- The Board confirmed it is happy with the proposed 2022 hui timetable and noted that additional hui can be considered if there is a specific urgent need.

Action required

- Secretariat to send out requests to the Board for 2022 hui dates.*

Governance | Kaitiakitanga

6. Work Programme | Hōtaka Mahi Budget | Mahere Pūtea

6.1. Work Programme | Hōtaka Mahi

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and reports provided (Linzone ID A4646724 and A4640399). The Board noted that the immediate priorities of the website update and branding may impact on some of the smaller projects. Discussions on the website update and branding are ongoing so a completion date is not yet known. The Board noted that this large programme of work is ambitious and agreed it was important to focus on priorities, not spread the Secretariat too thin, and to stay within budget. The Board noted that this work programme is updated prior to each hui. Despite the outreach initiatives being a priority, keeping up with BAU work means

some smaller tasks may have to be removed. The Board acknowledged the hard work and progress being made by the Secretariat. The Board requested that the following be added to the work programme:

- the hui held in Rotorua in July 2021 under 'Outreach progress'
- saying karakia together at Board hui under 'Improving capability and processes'
- relationships built with LGNZ² and DIA under 'Relationships'
- more information on the chapter being provided for the Irish book

Action required

- *Secretariat to update the work programme with the requested additions.*

6.2. Budget | Mahere Pūtea

The Chairperson provided a verbal update to the Board noting that:

- The budget approved for this financial year is \$830k. It is important to optimize the money and use it all.
- The Outreach Strategy has been completed and is ready to be implemented. A budget bid has been made to The Treasury for \$8.5 million over four years. If unsuccessful, then conversations will need to take place about other ways to fund the Board's initiatives. A greater portion of the Toitū Te Whenua LINZ Crown funding allocation is one possibility.
- If there were more resources a lot more could be achieved.
- A budget bid is a difficult process, and the result will not be known until The Treasury formally notifies the result. There is a lot of support by Toitū Te Whenua LINZ for the budget bid.

Policies | Nga Kaupapa here

7. Strategies for alternative Māori names for major cities and towns | Rautaki mō te ingoa Māori mō ngā taone nui me ngā taone

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4650483). The Board noted this discussion continues from its two previous hui. The paper sets out a suggested high-level approach for undertaking this mahi. The Board noted the significance of the work and agreed that objections would be likely if it proceeds with any proposals for alternative Māori names. The Minister for Land Information would likely make the final decisions. Therefore, it is crucial to engage with the Minister and have his full support. The Board noted that the Minister does not want to create new problems so it will be critical to handle the work carefully to ensure its success.

The Board thanked the Secretariat for the paper and asked if there is an opportunity to engage smaller iwi and give all those it might engage with a heads up prior to the programme starting.

The Board noted that there will be resourcing challenges for iwi, expressing concern they are driven to respond to consultation causing stress and strain. TPK and Te Arawhiti would be expected to support the work but were not resourced to do so. Iwi and hapū are increasingly being consulted on many things without help with resourcing or financial compensation. This is increasingly evident as the section 24 process continues (agenda item 12 refers). The Board noted that this also applies in the local government sector and is referred to as 'unfunded mandate', being the unfunded consultation and engagement expectations placed on local government by central government.

The Board noted that in the north there is a much bigger focus on hapū and some major iwi aren't part of the Iwi Chairs Forum, such as Te Whānau-a-Apanui, so the conversation needs to be far reaching. The Board noted

² Local Government New Zealand

that there is no money in the budget to resource iwi. The Board wondered if an option would be a partnership with DIA³ to help with resourcing.

To reach smaller groups, the Secretariat has a list of iwi, hapū and marae, which has been developed for the Tangata Whenua Place Names maps' consultation. The Board noted that it is an excellent kaupapa and requested that the diagram on page 3 also include 'hapū, marae, PSGE⁴'s and others' it should consult with. The Board agreed that it needs to be inclusive about who it engages with and should use the entities that already exist to represent certain groups, such as independent Māori Statutory Boards, Tūpuna Maunga Society, Māori Marae Maps, Marae, extend to Kaipara. The proposed alternative Māori names will create a lot of discussion and there may not be agreement within iwi. Starting with Auckland may be a good test case of how to apply the process, noting that there are 19 groups who have mana whenua status in Auckland. While Tāmaki Makaurau may be the most common and popular alternative Māori name for Auckland, there are other well-known names too, such as Tāmaki Herenga Waka, meaning 'Tamaki the mooring of canoes'. Other original Māori names for Auckland can still be collected. Auckland is complicated noting that the Council's sprawling coverage includes rural areas, so it may not be so straight forward for a super city. Merata Kawharu offered to assist consulting with groups especially Kaipara and Tāmaki. The requirements to support the actual work are going to be huge if the project is to be completed successfully and appropriately. The Board acknowledged that an education campaign would be needed first, including seeking from iwi and hapu on how they want to engage. The process and work will need to be framed.

Merata Kawharu left the hui at 10.15am

The Board noted that it should take care not to engage too soon when it doesn't have the resources in place. It doesn't want to set iwi up with expectations and not be able to meet them, especially as the programme could take years. The Board noted that a lot of iwi don't want to engage in English so that is another resourcing aspect to consider. The Board noted that 'unfunded mandate' is a term that is well known to iwi and this could be raised with the Minister in a paper highlighting these issues. He may then be able to get resourcing and funding for iwi.

The Board noted that things are organised differently within Ngāi Tahu but it also supports the need to engage with hapū as well as iwi. Once the project starts then more people will respond and want to have their voice heard. Some examples of incorrect or corrupted Māori place names being popularised include Ō Tautahi for Christchurch, Te Oha-a-Maru, for Oamaru, and Te Tihi-a-Maru, for Timaru. Ngāi Tahu consider the existing names to already be correct (other than possible macrons), with no tradition behind the increasingly popularised names. Many iwi and hapū may have never been included in naming consultation so this will be a big deal. The Chairperson called for other examples known to Board members.

The Board agreed that it needs to be strategic about its role in this and prioritise resources. It will be important to get the website and branding completed so this can be focussed on. The Board noted that there is nothing stopping it from having conversations with other Ministers to gain momentum and support for the project, especially those Ministers who make appointments to the Board. That Board noted that a relationship should be built with the Future for Local Government Panel, through its LGNZ member.

The Board agreed that this has been a great kōrero and it is a project of national significance which must be well thought out and culturally respectful. The Chairperson concluding that the initial priority is to start building interest first.

³ Department of Internal Affairs

⁴ Post Settlement Governance Entities

Actions required

- *Secretariat to update diagram on page 3 of the report (Linzone ID A4650483) to also include 'hapū, marae, PSGE's and others' to consult with.*
- *If Auckland is to be first, Merata Kawharu offered to assist consulting with local groups, especially Kaipara and Tāmaki.*
- *The Chairperson to advise the Minister the issue of 'unfunded mandate', as a term well known to iwi.*
- *All Board members to provide the Secretariat with other examples of alternative Māori names, especially those incorrectly popularised for towns and cities.*
- *Bonita Bigham to make contact with the Future for Local Government Panel, and act as a conduit for the Board.*
- *The Chairperson to meet with the Minister to communicate this proposed initiative.*

Morning tea: 10.30am to 11.00am

Merata Kawharu re-joined the hui at 11.00am

8. Discussion paper: assigning contemporary Māori place names | Pepa hei wānanga: te tohu ingoa wāhi Māori o nāianei

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4656128). The Board noted that a guideline or reference document would be beneficial to aid consideration of new contemporary Māori place names. The Board wondered if the issues were any different when considering contemporary non-Māori place names. The Board noted that it is important to engage with mana whenua first for any name put forward.

The Board noted that there may be no distinction between contemporary and traditional names. The Board also noted that what is traditional now was contemporary at one point in time, so it is about what is relative and adds to history.

The Board noted the importance of whakapapa and the connectiveness of a name. It needs to ensure, enable and allow an iwi or hapū led process. It was reiterated that the key is appropriateness whether it is a contemporary name or not. It must have relevance and cultural context.

The Board noted that Shane Te Ruki would share a paper he has written on contemporary naming as part of his work with Waipa District Council.

The Board agreed that it should encourage contemporary Māori place name proposals, but the challenge is being able to provide guidance to assist. The next step would be to review the Board's five published naming standards, and how they might incorporate some guidance on contemporary Māori place names. The Board agreed that iwi throughout the county are not the same so it is important their individuality is reflected.

Actions required

- *Shane Te Ruki to circulate to the Board his paper on contemporary place naming.*
- *Secretariat to review the Board's standards and how they might incorporate some guidance on contemporary Māori place names.*

Treaty advice | He kupu āwhina mō te Tiriti

In confidence item 9 | Ngā take tapu 9

Standard decisions | Ngā whakataunga noa

10. Submissions on proposals | Ngā tāpaetangi ki ngā tono

10.1. Waipaekākā

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4635541).

Resolution

The Board considered the three supporting submissions received for this proposal, and

Agreed to notify a final decision to **assign** a new official stream name, **Waipaekākā**, based on the Board's function to encourage the use of original Māori place names, noting that the generic geographic term of 'Wai' is sufficient to identify this feature, therefore the English generic term 'stream' is not needed.

Moved: Jenni Vernon

Seconded: Paulette Tamati-Elliffe

All in favour

Carried

Action required

- Secretariat to notify Board's final determination to assign Waipaekākā.

10.2. Pākaraka

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4659723). The Board noted that it had received many submissions on the proposal and that this is a significant decision.

Resolution

The Board considered all 537 submissions on the proposal to alter the existing official locality name from Maxwell to Pākaraka, and

Rejected the 194 objecting submissions, including those which suggested alternative names, based on their reasons not outweighing the original reasons that the Board accepted the proposal, being:

- the Board's function to encourage the use of original Māori names, noting its depiction on early documents, a contemporary translocation, the significance of the name Pākaraka to Ngāti Maika and its modern-day use in the area,
- resolving a long-standing Treaty grievance for mana whenua, who consider the existing official locality name Maxwell to be offensive, and this grievance having been recognised by the Crown in Ngā Rauru Kitahi's Deed of Settlement 2003, and
- Whanganui District Council supporting the proposed change,

having **noted**:

- long-term use of Maxwell,
- the historical context leading to the formation of the Kai Iwi Yeomanry Cavalry and Maxwell's actions during settler/colonial conflict with Māori,
- no response from Whanganui Land Settlement Negotiation Trust,
- cost implications for changing signs and other published material, and
- the possible but unlikely confusion for emergency services,

and

Confirmed the Board's earlier decision to **accept** the proposal to alter the existing official locality name from Maxwell to Pākaraka,

and

Report the Board's decision to the Minister for Land Information and **request** the Minister to make the final determination on the proposal.

and

Agreed to discontinue Maxwell Railway Station following the Minister's final determination, as the feature no longer exists,

and

Notify Maxwell Railway Station as discontinued under section 24(2)(b) of the NZGB Act 2008.

Moved: Bonita Bigham

Seconded: Robin Kearns

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Secretary to report the Board's recommendation to alter Maxwell to Pākaraka to the Minister for Land Information and request his final determination.
- Secretariat to notify Maxwell Railway Station as discontinued, following the Minister's final determination.

10.3. Five proposals in the Darran Mountains

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4651688). The Board noted the safety argument in the one objecting submission but did not consider it to have merit. The Board noted that the generic terms Col, Pass and Saddle have different definitions about difficulty to mountaineers, who rank them from hardest to easiest.

Resolution

The Board **considered** the three supporting submissions for the four proposals to correct positions (Mount Milne, Mount Tarewai, Mount Makere, and Whaitiri) and the spelling of two names (Mount Makere and Whaitiri) in the Darran Mountains,

and

Agreed to notify final determinations for the four that received only supporting submissions:

- **alter** the positions of the recorded place names Mount Milne and Mount Tarewai,
- **alter** Mount Mahere to Mount Makere and alter its position,
- **alter** Waitiri (peak) to Whaitiri and alter its position,

based on the reasons previously accepted by the Board, being:

- the Board's functions to investigate and determine the positions of features when making names official,
- historical evidence confirming that the names are not in the positions originally intended, and that Mahere was originally proposed as Makere,
- the mountaineering community considering that official maps are wrong, notwithstanding long-term use on the main topographic maps in their current locations (47 years),
- value to emergency services accurately identifying the locations of the features,
- confirmation from TRONT that the correct spelling of Waitiri is likely Whaitiri,

and

The Board **considered** the three submissions on the proposal to assign a new official place name in long term use, Pikipari Pass,

and

Rejected the objecting submission based on the reasons not outweighing the Board's reasons for originally accepting the proposal, being:

- long term use by the mountaineering community,
- previous use on an official map,
- a need to identify this feature with a name, noting the position of the official name Pakihaukea Pass is incorrectly depicted on the topo map at this pass, but will be corrected to its rightful pass further south,

and that place names in te reo Māori may be proposed by any person if the proposal meets the Board's minimum requirements,

and

Confirms the Board's earlier decision to accept the proposal to assign an official place name Pikipari Pass,
and

Report the Board's decision to assign Pikipari Pass to the Minister for Land Information **and request** the Minister to make the final determination on the proposal.

Moved: Shaun Barnett

Seconded: Chanel Clarke

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Secretariat to notify the Board's final determinations for Mount Milne, Mount Tarewai, Mount Makere, and Whaitiri.
- Secretary to report the Board's recommendation for Pikipari Pass to the Minister for Land Information and request his final determination.

Board discussion prior to considering Agenda items 10.4, 10.5 and 10.6

The Board noted that at its last hui it had decided to request the Minister for Land Information to make the final decisions on the proposals to alter Farewell Rise to Onetāhua Rise, D'Urville Seavalley to Rangitoto-ki-te-Tonga / d'Urville Valley, and Five Fingers Canyon to Taumoana Canyon. However, the Chairperson is reluctant to report to the Minister until the Board is clearer on its reasons for the decisions and asked the Board to reconsider its decisions and reasons. The Board noted that the IHO⁵'s *B-6 Guidelines* record that where feasible the specific part of an undersea feature name should be associated with a geographical feature, for example, Mariana Trench is named in association with the Mariana Islands. The Board noted that the three existing undersea feature names are named after non-Māori land-based features, two of which are not official place names.

10.4. Farewell Rise

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4633218). The Board noted that two mana whenua groups supported altering Farewell Rise to Onetāhua Rise. The feature is physically connected to Farewell Spit, which has the original Māori name, Onetāhua. The Board noted that it was unlikely that undersea features have original Māori names. However, naming by association should not be an issue as from a Māori perspective the land and sea are connected. The marae on nearby land, which is named Onetāhua, looks directly out to the spit and undersea rise.

The Board noted that it has been struggling to find Māori names for undersea features. Onetāhua is a well-known name on land. It is a lot older than the name for Farewell Spit and has a direct connection to the area. The Board noted that Onetāhua is geographically descriptive and an appropriate use of the name because of the piles of sand that build up on the rise. The Board agreed to confirm its original decision with the additional reasons that it is acknowledging, recognising and promoting the kōrero of the land, which extends outwards to the ocean, and its decision acknowledges and recognises its leadership role in promoting te reo Māori. The Board noted that the orthography of Onetāhua had been confirmed by a TTWh licensed translator.

The Board noted that this discussion would be useful for when its Undersea Feature Names Committee is considering names for undersea features.

Lunch/Kai o te rānui: 12.30pm to 1.15pm

⁵ International Hydrographic Organization

*Waihoroi Shortland left the hui at 12.30pm
Ginny Leggett left the hui at 12.33pm
Adam Greenland joined the hui at 1.15pm*

Resolution

Confirmed its decision of 22 July 2021 to alter the recorded undersea feature name Farewell Rise to the official undersea feature name **Onetāhua Rise** and further agreed:

1. *The kōrero of the land already exists and is what the Board is now recognising. The Board believes that the name Onetāhua refers to the kōrero in the land and of the land, which extends outwards – meaning that the mana extends outward and is all connected,*
2. *To acknowledge, recognise and promote that kōrero and history,*
3. *The Board wants to do more and is interested in taking a leadership role in promoting the naming of this undersea feature in a prominent and central part of the country through te reo Māori – something not done before but aligning with the connection from land pointing directly up to the undersea feature, and*
4. *The physical description in te reo Māori relates to the sand build up on the rise.*

and

Report the Board's decision to the Minister for Land Information and request the Minister to make the final determination on the proposal.

Moved: Merata Kawharu

Seconded: Chanel Clarke

All in favour

Carried

Action required

- *Secretary to report the Board's recommendation to alter Farewell Rise to Onetāhua Rise to the Minister for Land Information and request his final determination.*

10.5. d'Urville Valley or D'Urville Seavalley

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4635124). The Board noted that Rangitoto ki te Tonga / D'Urville Island, which is officially dual named, is the closest land-based feature. Ngāto Koata, who are mana whenua, support a dual name for the undersea feature. The Board noted that 'Rangitoto' means 'lava or volcano'. The Board noted that Dumont d'Urville did not discover the undersea feature and agreed that the name no longer fits in a modern context. The Board had no concerns for navigational safety by changing the name. The Board noted that the generic term 'Valley' is in line with the international standard, and for the Undersea Feature Names Committee the name alteration is about cultural redress.

Recommendation 1 was moved by Jenni Vernon to correct the spelling to a lower case 'd'. However, it was not seconded and subsequently withdrawn.

The Board agreed that option 3 would be consistent with its consideration and reasons for agenda item 10.4 Farewell Rise to Onetāhua Rise. The Board noted that the hyphens had been inadvertently left out of 'Rangitoto-ki-te-Tonga' in the report provided, and that they are required.

Resolution

The Board confirmed its decision of 22 July 2021 to alter the existing recorded undersea feature name D'Urville Seavalley to the official dual undersea feature name **Rangitoto-ki-te-Tonga / d'Urville Valley**, which rejected the objection and agreed to report to the Minister for Land Information for a final decision, and further agreed:

1. *The kōrero of the land already exists and is what the Board is now recognising. The Board believes that the name Rangitoto-ki-te-Tonga refers to the kōrero in the land and of the land, which extends outwards – meaning that the mana extends outward and is all connected,*
2. *To acknowledge, recognise and promote that kōrero and history, and*

3. That the Board wants to do more and is interested in taking a leadership role in promoting the naming of this undersea feature in a prominent and central part of the country through te reo Māori – something not done before, but aligning with the connection from land pointing directly to the undersea feature,

and

Report the Board's decision to alter D'Urville Seavalley to Rangitoto-ki-te-Tonga / d'Urville Valley to the Minister for Land Information and request the Minister to make the final determination on the proposal.

Moved: Merata Kawharu

Seconded: Robin Kearns

All in favour

Carried

Action required

- Secretary to report the Board's recommendation to alter D'Urville Seavalley to Rangitoto-ki-te-Tonga / d'Urville Valley to the Minister for Land Information and request his final determination.

10.6. Five Fingers Canyon

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4634586). The Board noted that the associated land-based feature, Five Fingers Peninsula, has the original Māori name, Taumoana. The undersea canyon had been named because of its proximity to the land-based peninsula. The Board wondered if this was an opportunity to officially restore the original Māori name to the land-based feature, currently Five Fingers Peninsula. However, 'Five Fingers' is a Cook name so it is unlikely it would be dropped from any land-based feature name. The Board agreed to confirm the decision it made at its 22 July 2021 hui with the same reasons provided for agenda item 10.4 Farewell Rise to Onetāhua Rise.

Resolution

The Board **confirmed** its decision of 22 July 2021 to alter the existing recorded undersea feature name Five Fingers Canyon to the official undersea feature name **Taumoana Canyon**, and further agreed:

1. The kōrero of the land already exists and is what the Board is now recognising. The Board believes that the name Taumoana refers to the kōrero in the land and of the land, which extends outwards – meaning that the mana extends outward and is all connected,
2. To acknowledge, recognise and promote that kōrero and history, and
3. That the Board wants to do more and is interested in taking a leadership role in promoting the naming of this undersea feature in a prominent through te reo Māori – something not done before, but aligning with the connection from land pointing directly to the undersea feature,

and

Report the Board's decision to alter Five Fingers Canyon to Taumoana Canyon to the Minister for Land Information and request the Minister to make the final determination on the proposal.

Moved: Merata Kawharu

Seconded: Paulette Tamati-Elliffe

All in favour

Carried

Action required

- Secretary to report the Board's recommendation to the Minister for Land Information and request his final determination.

11. Name Proposals | Ngā tono taunahatanga

11.1. Kapakapanui Creek, Koangaumu Creek, Ohangao Creek, Titahi Creek

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4613466). The Board noted that the proposal reports are generally shorter with more details provided electronically as supplementary information. The Chairperson asked the Board to provide feedback on the new format, noting that the detail is not always needed.

The Board requested that proposers' names be included in future reports as it is a public process. The Board noted that there could potentially be privacy issues, but proposers' names can be redacted if necessary, when reports are made publicly available. However, the standard OIA⁶ process allows for people's names to be released, just not their contact details. The Board noted that a proposer's name is in the proposal, which is in 'Supporting information'. The Board agreed that unless a proposal is likely to be really controversial it should just be notified for one month.

Resolution

The Board accepted the proposals to assign as official Koangaumu Creek, Kapakapanui Creek, Ohangao Creek and Titahi Creek to four unnamed creeks that drain into Titahi Bay, north of Wellington, based on:

- the Board's function to encourage the use of original Māori place names,
- expert advice that the names are orthographically correct,
- naming them being useful to identify the features, and
- Ngāti Toa Rangatira's support for the proposals,

and

Notify as proposals to assign for one month.

Moved: Adam Greenland

Seconded: Paulette Tamati-Elliffe

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Board members to provide feedback on the new format of proposal reports.
- Secretariat to add proposers' names to proposal reports.
- Secretariat to notify as new proposals for Koangaumu Creek, Kapakapanui Creek, Ohangao Creek and Titahi Creek to assign for one month.

11.2. Maungatūtū

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4651474). The Board noted that Maungatūtū means prickly grass.

Resolution

The Board accepts the proposal to alter the existing unofficial recorded name Mount Misery to the official name Maungatūtū, based on:

- the Board's function to encourage the use of original Māori place names,
- wide support from mana whenua,
- removing duplication with 21 other Mount Misery place names throughout New Zealand,
- no need for the generic term 'Mount' as the Māori name includes the Māori generic 'Maunga', and
- the inappropriate negative connotations of the existing name,

⁶ Official Information Act

and

Notify as a proposal to **alter** for three months.

Moved: Shane Te Ruki

Seconded: Chanel Clarke

All in favour

Carried

Action required

- Secretariat to notify as a proposal to alter Mount Misery to Maungatūtū for three months.

11.3. Te Horohoroinga o ngā ringa o Kahumatamomoe

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4640778), the updated report with new information and a new recommendation (Linzone ID A4674944), and the letter from Raukawa Settlement Trust opposing the proposal (Linzone ID A4673639).

The Board noted the different names for the feature from different iwi. The Board noted one of its members had attended a tangi where kaumātua gave both versions. The Board noted this proposal came about after its Rotorua hui and wondered if the proposal should be deferred to allow time for kōrero between the iwi about what the best name would be. The Board considered whether it would be possible for a different name to be agreed. The Board did not consider that it should be the one to decide where the name might be truncated.

The Board discussed the whanaungatanga (*lit. close connection*) between the various Te Arawa hapū/iwi and the other groups, and noted the respect shown in the response from Tūwharetoa in supporting Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Kearoa-Ngāti Tuara. The Board considered what onus for consultation it should place on proposers who identify as mana whenua for consulting with other Māori groups. It was suggested that framing it as kōrero rather than consultation would have better connotations.

Adam Greenland left the hui at 1.53pm

The Board agreed that it would suggest, but not have a role in facilitating the discussion between Raukawa Settlement Trust and Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Kearoa Ngāti Tuara. The Board discussed ① making the recommendation to proceed with Te Horohoroinga-o-ngā-ringa-o-Kahumatamomoe and requesting the two iwi groups kōrero between themselves during the statutory consultation period or ② deferring the proposal for kōrero first would be the better option, or if the two approaches would effectively be the same process.

The Board was satisfied with the process including the consultation completed to date. The Board agreed with the name proposed and agreed to move an updated recommendation from the original report (Linzone ID A4640778), proceeding with the name as proposed. The Board agreed that running the consultation process provides for objections by Raukawa. The Board noted that the proposed name is well known and the proposers are mana whenua whose marae is under the feature. The Board noted that most of the relevant iwi support the proposal, therefore there was no need to defer. The Board noted that the issues are similar to those during Central North Island Iwi Collective's negotiations.

The Board formally recognised the interests of Raukawa and considered that proceeding would allow several months for any further kōrero to take place.

Resolution

The Board accepted the proposal to assign Te Horohoroinga-o-ngā-ringa-o-Kahumatamomoe to a 6.4km long, flat topped range at Horohoro (locality), southwest of Rotorua, based on:

- the Board's function to encourage the use of original Māori names,

- confirmation of the correct orthography by a Te Taura Whiri i te Reo Māori licensed translator and the proposers confirming they have no concerns with the addition of the hyphens,
- the cultural and historic importance of the name outweighing concerns about its length and implications for emergency response,
- not needing an English or Māori generic term because the feature is known by mana whenua without any generic term and the feature being in a relatively remote area,
- support from Te Kotahitanga o Ngāti Tūwharetoa and Te Pumautanga o Te Arawa Trust, and
- agreeing 'range' is an acceptable feature type (but not necessary as a generic term),

and

Notify as a proposal to assign a new official place name for three months.

Moved: Merata Kawharu

Seconded: Shane Te Ruki

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Secretariat to notify as a proposal to assign *Te Horohoroinga-o-ngā-ringa-o-Kahumatamomoe* for three months.
- Secretariat to write to Raukawa Settlement Trust and Te Rūnanga o Ngāti Kearoa Ngāti Tuara encouraging them to kōrero during the consultation period.

11.4. Upper Studholme Pass

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4660673).

Resolution

*The Board noted **Upper Studholme Pass** was approved in the correct position and assigned as official in 1948 'subject to fixation on map',*

and

Declined the proposal to **alter Upper Studholme Pass** and instead **notify** an amendment to New Zealand Gazette 1948 (42) p.939 to provide an accurate description of the correct position of the name and remove 'subject to fixation on maps', based on it being depicted incorrectly on recent official maps.

Moved: Bonita Bigham

Seconded: Paulette Tamati-Elliffe

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Secretariat to notify an amendment to correct/improve the description of Upper Studholme Pass in the original gazette.
- Secretariat to request Toitū Te Whenua LINZ to correct the depiction of Upper Studholme Pass on its topographic map, NZTopo50-BY14.
- Secretariat to advise mountaineering groups.

11.5. Lake Mangapōike

Additional information tabled

- Letters x3 dated 18 October 2021.

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4639940). The Board noted the letter from Te Whakaari Inc disputing the new proposal for Lake Mangapōike, to which an objector had responded that they are not going to withdraw the objection and remains firm on Lake Mangapōike. The Board reinforced its neutrality in the process. The Board agreed to wait until the outcome of Te Whakaari Inc's AGM⁷ which is to be held on 5 November 2021 [*Secretariat note: the AGM was postponed to 3 December 2021*]. The Board noted that if there is no new information from the Te Whakaari Inc AGM then its previously agreed recommendation for Lake Mangapōike will be publicly notified. However, if it receives information that could impact the recommendation then it could make a decision intersessionally.

Action required

- Secretariat to notify Lake Mangapōike after the AGM, unless there is new information, in which case arrange an intercessional hui for the Board consider.*

11.6. Troy Peak

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4581855). The Board noted that it has a shared naming agreement with the United States who have similar naming criteria. The Board noted that the proposal is to name after a living person, which meets its naming criteria in Antarctica.

Resolution

The Board accepted the proposal to assign a new official name, Troy Peak, at 163.42296 E, 83.39855 S based on:

- honouring American Hailaeos Troy's achievements supporting United States' Antarctic science,
- 'peak' being an acceptable generic term to describe the geographic feature type, and
- the feature being unnamed,

and

Notify, subject to the USBGN⁸ advising its acceptance of the proposed name, noting that if the Board does not accept the proposal USBGN might still name the feature.

Moved: Jenni Vernon

Seconded: Chanel Clarke

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Secretariat to advise US-ACAN⁹ of the Board's decision.*
- Secretariat to notify the Board's final determination to assign Troy Peak, subject to final USBGN decision.*

Break 2.42pm – 2.50pm

⁷ Annual General Meeting

⁸ United States Board on Geographic Names

⁹ United States Advisory Committee on Antarctic Names

12. Recorded names approved as official | E whaimana ai ngā taunahatanga

12.1. Gisborne Region

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4635550). The Board noted that it had agreed to the process' criteria in 2020. One aspect of the fast-track process was that if the Board hadn't had any response within six months, then it would go ahead and make the names official with the proviso that a place name could be changed in the future through the consultation process.

The Board agreed to formally thank Ngāi Tūhoe and Te Aitanga a Hauiti for their hard work and engagement in the process.

The Board noted the extensive duplication in Gisborne Region of some stream names. The Board agreed this was not a concern, as they are existing names in long term use and not known to have caused issues in the past.

The Board noted Tātou Tātou o Te Wairoa Trust's request for an extension to the next Board hui or mid-2022, although they have had several months to respond. The Board agreed with this request, considering it reasonable as the group had also been requested to comment on place names in the Hawke's Bay Region. The Board noted this would defer 172 names and requested the Secretariat to determine how the deferred names would affect the final numbers in the recommendations. The Board noted Tātou Tātou o Te Wairoa Trust was a relatively new Post Settlement Governance Entity and was likely to have been inundated with consultation requests.

The Board noted how well consultation had proceeded with the many Māori groups, and it had been a huge endeavour. The Board noted that initially there had been interest from many groups, and half of the groups had identified names of interest, but eventually dropped out of the process. The Board noted that part of the process was not to make assumptions so that iwi/hapū could advise which names were relevant to them. The Board noted that there had been some innovations in sharing information, such as the Secretariat providing interactive online maps and technical support. At the other end of the spectrum some groups required a less technical way of sharing information, such as PDF maps that could be printed out in hard copy.

The Board thanked the Secretariat for managing the process, which had been huge effort.

Resolutions

The Board agreed to an extension for Tātou Tātou o Te Wairoa Trust for 172 Gisborne Region names until the next Board hui or mid-2022, noting that:

- *they were first contacted in late February 2021, the place names were initially provided in March 2021, and the list of 172 place names in their area of interest was identified by May 2021, so they have had 5-7 months to consider their names, and*
- *they have been asked to comment on a further 451 place names in Hawke's Bay Region for consideration in 2022, and the names have been sent early to allow plenty of time for a response.*

Moved: Jenni Vernon

Seconded: Robin Kearns

All in favour

Carried

AND

The Board agreed to approve as official [799] recorded place names in Appendix A [excluding 148 deferred names], based on:

- *a licensed Te Taura Whiri i te Reo Māori translator having confirmed the orthography of the Māori place names,*

- the Board previously agreeing that the addition of a macron does not prevent a recorded Māori place name being approved as official under section 24 of the NZGB Act 2008 because it is not an alternative name (applicable to 290 Māori place names),
- there being no other alternative recorded place names known for the features and places, and
- public objection is unlikely.

Noting that:

- Te Aitanga a Hauiti had confirmed some place names,
- the Board's fast track programme provides that if no response is received by a reasonable deadline it may proceed to make the recorded place names official, and
- Names confirmed by Ngāi Tūhoe would be deferred due to accepting the extension for Tātou Tātou o Te Wairoa Trust,

and

Notify [799] as official approved place names.

Moved: Chanel Clarke

Seconded: Shane Te Ruki

All in favour

Carried

AND

The Board **decided** recorded place names with possessive apostrophes should be **approved** under this region by region programme, noting that this decision will affect two place names in Appendix B (Cook's Cove and Scott's Selection), and to establish a policy for the small number of place names in other regions, **noting** the Board's practice is to avoid the possessive form and if it is used then to drop the apostrophe for regular proposals, **and**

Notify [two] with possessive apostrophes as official approved place names.

Moved: Jenni Vernon

Seconded: Bonita Bigham

All in favour

Carried

AND

Declined to approve [85] recorded Gisborne place names in Appendix C, [excluding 24 deferred names], based on:

- the Te Taura Whiri i te Reo Māori licensed translator requiring more information before being able to advise the correct orthography, or
- advice from mana whenua of incorrect spelling, or
- that there is no known alternative recorded name for the feature or place, or
- the location of the named feature being uncertain, or
- pending outcome of alternative names discussions,

and

Noting that these 85 recorded unofficial names will continue to be classified as recorded (unofficial) place names and used on maps, charts and other official documents.

Moved: Merata Kawharu

Seconded: Paulette Tamati-Elliffe

All in favour

Carried

AND

The Board **approved** **Otiki** in Appendix D, for a 144m hill at East Cape, based on its association with the existing official trig name, and that objection was unlikely, noting the place name did not follow the consultation process under the programme as it was added to the Gazetteer after consultation began for the Gisborne Region names.

Moved: Anselm Haanen
Seconded: Robin Kearns
All in favour
Carried

AND

The Board **agreed** that a review of the orthography of existing official place names associated/duplicated with the recorded place names should be dealt with as part of the region by region process. This would ensure consistency and minimise any confusion,

and

Notify amendments/corrigenda for [**eight**] existing official place names in Appendix E, [excluding **two** deferred names] by adding macrons for consistency with the recorded place names in Appendix A.

Moved: Jenni Vernon
Seconded: Shane Te Ruki
All in favour
Carried

Actions required

- Secretariat to determine final numbers of place names in recommendations 1, 3, and 5 as subject to option 6 agreed by the Board.
- Secretariat to notify [802] Gisborne Region place names approved as official.
- Secretariat to notify amendments to the orthography of [**eight**] existing official place names
- Secretariat to advise Tātou Tātou o Te Wairoa Trust of the agreed extension and request their comments preferably for the next Board hui in early 2022, or otherwise the mid-year 2022 hui.
- Secretariat to advise all other mana whenua of outcomes.
- Secretariat to advise Gisborne District Council of outcomes.
- Secretariat to advise LGNZ and Local Government Commission of outcomes.
- Secretariat to arrange media release with Toitū Te Whenua LINZ Comms who will work with Gisborne District Council Comms.
- Secretariat to formally thank Te Aitanga a Hauiti and Ngāi Tūhoe for engaging in the process.

Adam Greenland re-joined the hui at 3.10pm

12.2 Corrigenda and amendments

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4642178). The Board agreed that while it had made a decision on apostrophes under agenda item 12.1, more research is required for Dea's Head. If the person's name is Deas then it should be Deas Head to be consistent with Deas Basin and Deas Cove in Fiordland. The Board noted that there may be an association with the Malaspina expedition, which was responsible for the two Fiordland place names. The Board requested the Secretariat to investigate and bring the results back for a decision.

Resolution

The Board **agreed** to **notify** a corrigendum to NZ Gazette 2019-*In*5662 to correct Waiwhetu Stream to Waiwhetū Stream, based on expert orthographic advice there should be a macron on the [u]. Noting the Secretariat omitted this macron from the recommendation to the Board's 18 October 2019 hui,

and

Agreed to **notify** amendments to NZ Gazette 1948 (42) p.939 to amend Waiwhetu (village) to Waiwhetū (suburb), for consistency with Waiwhetū Stream, to standardise the orthography, and to update the feature type due to change in the past 73 years.

and

Notify amendments to NZ Gazette 1948 (42) p.939 to standardise the orthography of official place names:

- *Tiheru Island to Tiheru Island,*
- *Wairaupo to Wairaupō (island),*

and

Noted two more unofficial recorded place names updated to the standard format, which were missed from the list provided to the Board on 22 July 2021:

- *Cascade/Kauri to Cascade / Kauri.*
- *Rocky Bay (Whakanewha Bay) to Rocky Bay / Whakanewha Bay.*

and

For consistency, **agreed to approve** East Wānaka Creek for consistency with Wānaka (town) as recently amended, and Lake Wānaka, approved in 2019, noting the name would otherwise be considered for approval under the region-by-region process in 2026, **subject** to confirmation from TRONT.

Moved: Merata Kawharu

Seconded: Jenni Vernon

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Secretariat to investigate Dea's Head to determine if it is associated with Deas Cove and Deas Basin, and bring back to the Board with an updated recommendation.
- Secretariat to notify corrigenda/amendments for four existing official names (Waiwhetū Stream, Waiwhetū (suburb), Tiheru Island and Wairaupō (island).
- Secretariat to notify East Wānaka Creek as approved, subject to confirmation from TRONT.

13. Crown protected area names | Ngā taunahatanga e tiakina ana e te Karauna

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4656149). The Board noted that it was expecting six marine reserve name proposals in the future. If there are any issues and the Secretary is not able to review and concur with them under delegation, then they will be escalated to the Board, which may require a decision intersessionally.

Committees/Decisions | Ngā Komiti/Ngā Whakataunga

14. Komiti Taunahatanga Māori

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4644950). Chanel Clarke provided an update on the Komiti Taunahatanga Māori hui held on 19 October 2021. The Board noted that the new edition of the Tangata Whenua Place Names' map has progressed and could be transferred to a digital format. A proof of concept had been drawn up and based on that Dragonfly has provided a quote of \$40k. The Komiti is keen to progress the initiative, which is worthwhile, needed and another tool in the Board's kete to meet its outreach aspirations. There are very few resources available for schools except the Gazetteer, and this may be an opportunity for the Board to contribute to the updated New Zealand history curriculum.

Ngāti Kuia and Ngāti Toa have seen the proof of concept and support the project, and the Komiti is keen to progress it. There will be new place names for the printed map. There is a possibility for cross-agency collaboration, for example, with the Ministry of Education, but money is tight. The Board is planning to enhance its Gazetteer, which could have place names' stories as a layer. There is also concern about the ongoing cost of hosting and maintenance.

The Board noted that it had underspent its budget from last year and this is an opportunity for a resource which is needed now. The enhanced Gazetteer could be up to another six years away. It is also possible that

the Board could also underspend this financial year. This could be a test or pilot for a business case. The Board was concerned about how the map would be maintained in the future and how Dragonfly would integrate it into the Toitū Te Whenua LINZ website. The Board agreed that it would need to see a firm proposal before making a decision; however, \$40k is a small amount for the huge potential. The Board noted that MBIE¹⁰ has a suite of research funding – the Endeavour Fund – and the Tangata Whenua Place Names' map project would fit into the 'Smart Ideas' kaupapa. The Board noted that MBIE are keen to support Mātauranga¹¹ Māori and agreed that it would submit a concept proposal to the Endeavour Fund, which Merata Kawharu would review.

Action required

- Secretariat to investigate application for the Endeavour grant funding for the Tangata Whenua place names online digital resource.

15. Undersea Feature Names Committee (UFNC) | Komiti Taunahatanga Raromoana

15.1. Undersea Feature Names Committee (UFNC) recommendations to the Board

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4635510). The Board noted that after considering feedback from SCUFN¹² on some of its proposals made in 2020, the UFNC has put forward recommendations, which were made forensically using the SCUFN Cookbook.

Resolution

The Board **confirmed Farr Knoll** as the official undersea feature name based on the feature meeting the definition of a knoll in the Cookbook and **advises** SCUFN of its decision.

AND

The Board **notifies**:

- an **alteration** to change the official undersea name Ice Bird Seamount to **Ice Bird Peak**,
- an **alteration** to change the official undersea name from Solo Seamount to **Solo Peak**, and
- **assigns Lewis Seamount** as an official undersea feature name to the wider feature where Ice Bird Peak and Solo Peak are located.

Based on the seamount being one feature with a high saddle between the two peaks, the geomorphological connection between the features and the connection between the three names.

and

Advises SCUFN of its decisions emphasising that the three names are connected.

AND

The Board **notifies** an **alteration** to the official undersea feature name Kidson Hill to **Kidson Hills** based on the feature being more than one hill,

and

Advises SCUFN of its decision.

AND

The Board **notifies** an **alteration** to the official undersea feature name Leachman Ridge to **Leachman Hill** based on the feature not meeting the definition of a ridge in the Cookbook and having the attributes of a hill according to the Cookbook

and

Advises SCUFN of its decision.

AND

¹⁰ Ministry of Innovation, Business & Employment

¹¹ Traditional knowledge

¹² Sub-Committee on Undersea Feature Names

The Board **notifies** an **alteration** to the official undersea feature name Lewis Hill to **Christoffel Hill** in recognition of David Christoffel's work in the Southern Ocean and Antarctica and makes a full proposal to SCUFN.

Noting that:

- the profiles are compelling that the feature is a hill, and
- 'Lewis' is proposed to be assigned as a new name, Lewis Seamount, for a different feature.

AND

The Board **confirms Māhia Canyon** as an official undersea feature name based on:

- the canyon not being hydrographically connected to Tūranganui-a-Kiwa / Poverty Bay,
- the canyon being closely geographically associated with Māhia Peninsula, which is a significant feature on nearby land,
- the desire to remove 'Poverty' from the name, and
- no issue with confusion with changing the name.

Noting that 'Poverty Debris Avalanche' and 'Poverty Gullies' are not official undersea feature names as they are not named for recognised feature types. Their publication in one scientific manuscript does not give sufficient justification to use or compare as associated names.

and

Advises SCUFN of its decision with a detailed explanation so SCUFN is aware:

- of the poor connotations and cultural sensitivities associated with culturally inappropriate place names,
- the negative cultural impact and colonial overlay that a 'Poverty' name incites,
- that names are changing to recognise indigenous peoples' explorations and original names for geographic features, and
- New Zealand scientists have no issues with Poverty Canyon having been altered to Māhia Canyon.

AND

The Board **confirms Pelorus Ridge** as the official undersea feature name based on the feature meeting the definition of a ridge according to the Cookbook

and

Advises SCUFN of its decision.

AND

The Board **confirms the polyline** of the official undersea feature name Ruatōria Scarp as proposed, noting that SCUFN will be provided with a bathymetric map with a higher contour interval to confirm the polyline submitted with the original proposal

and

Advises SCUFN of its decision.

Moved: Adam Greenland

Seconded: Robin Kearns

All in favour

Carried

Actions required

- Secretariat to notify alterations to five official names and to assign one new official name.
- Secretariat to advise SCUFN of the Board's decisions on ten official undersea feature names.

15.2. Consultation on 15 AWI¹³ (Germany) proposals

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4636472). The Board noted that the opportunity to discuss the issue kanohi ki kanohi with Chatham Islands mana whenua had again been deferred

¹³ Alfred Wegener Institute

by the COVID-19 pandemic. Travel may be possible again, but there must be caution because of the pandemic and the requirements for vaccination. The Board cannot continue to defer consulting on the names as SCUFN has advised that AWI must resubmit its proposals by the end of 2021 or early 2022. The Board noted that if New Zealand could not undertake consultation, then SCUFN may approve the names as originally proposed, which would be very disappointing. The Board noted that it may have to hold a virtual consultation hui as a backup if travel isn't feasible.

Action required

- *Paulette Tamati-Elliffe to pursue consultation hui with Ngāti Mutunga o Wharekauri and Moriori by the end of the year if possible.*

Paulette Tamati-Elliffe left the hui at 4.02pm

15.3. SCUFN report

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4638414).

Outreach | Toronga

16. Outreach and Engagement | Rautaki Torotoro Whakawhanaunga

16.1. Outreach and Engagement | Toronga me te Whakawhanaunga

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4651775). The Board noted that the recently seconded replacement Outreach Lead role had unfortunately resigned. The Board noted that every change means a loss of progress, and its outreach programme is a major priority, so it is hoped that the role can be filled promptly.

16.2. Rotorua hui feedback

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4659025). The Board agreed that its hui in Rotorua in July 2021 had been a success and it is important to continue the momentum. The Board noted that camaraderie outside of the boardroom provides an opportunity for more meaningful conversations and kōrero. The Board noted that offsite hui adds a significant burden on the Secretariat. The Board suggested a hui in the Wairarapa or the far north at the end of 2022.

Anselm Haanen left the hui at 4.10pm

Adam Greenland took over as Chairperson from 4.10pm

The Board noted that travel to hui in 2022 would depend on the success of the budget bid and COVID-19 pandemic concerns, otherwise costs would have to come from savings in other Board mahi.

16.3. Website architecture and content refresh

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4659026). The Board noted that the Secretariat is currently revising the content and structure of the Board's webpages. The Board noted that Toitū Te Whenua LINZ Digital Comms had raised new concerns about the Board's future brand role out and that this might impede the work.

The Board noted this work is an interim for the Board's webpages on the Toitū Te Whenua LINZ website and sets up for migration to the Board's own separate website. The Board noted that further work on the webpages would be driven by the new Outreach/Engagement role position.

The Board noted that this is a significant amount of work and wondered if there could potentially be another menu on the website for Māori to engage with the Board. The Board noted that adding more te reo Māori headings could be done at a minimum. The Board noted that providing a full translation of the pages or processes for Māori to engage with the Board would speak to its Māori Language Plan.

The Board agreed that it wouldn't compromise or loose the initiative on what it envisages for the future of Pou Taunaha branding. The Board noted that an update would be given at its next hui.

Action required

- *Secretariat to report back at the Board's first hui in 2022 on progress with the Board's webpages, website, brand roll out and consideration of a possible separate design from an iwi/hapū perspective.*

Projects, initiatives | Ngā mahi, ngā kaupapa

17. Publications | Ngā putanga

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4658663).

Secretary's report | Pūrongo a te Hekeretari

18. Secretary's report | Pūrongo a te Hekeretari

Board discussion

The Board noted the information and report provided (Linzone ID A4656161) and the updated report tabled (Linzone ID A4675922). The Board noted:

- Changes to the Secretariat team.
- Toitū Te Whenua LINZ's ongoing restructure 'Organising Ourselves', which is a big project.
- The possible future proposals listed in the report.
- The Annual Report has been printed and will be distributed shortly.
- The Risk Register will be shared at the March/April 2022 hui.
- Any possible future policies will be discussed at the March/April 2022 hui.
- There has been no progress on updating the Board's protocol with TPK. They have previously advised to rollover the agreement as it was.

The Board requested more information about the name of the country and the use of Aotearoa. The Board noted that its legislation clearly states that it has no jurisdiction over the name of New Zealand. Its responses to enquirers typically respond something similar and direct people to Parliament. The Board noted that Ngāi Tahu considers that Aotearoa was another name for Te Ika-a-Māui or the North Island. The Board noted that Aotearoa is being increasingly popularised.

The Board agreed that while it has no jurisdiction over the name of the country, it should still consider what its stance should be. The Board noted that the Secretariat would forward members a variety of the Secretariat's responses to enquirers. It could then advise how it might prefer future responses to look.

The Board noted enquiries come from a variety of angles, such as altering the name or wanting an answer on what the official name currently is. The Board noted there was an element of definition on what enquirers mean by 'New Zealand' and 'Aotearoa'. For example, a geographic feature or the realm, which might be bigger than what 'Aotearoa' would traditionally or contemporarily apply to.

The Board agreed that its needs to take the opportunity to be involved in the debate but should proceed with caution.

Actions required

- *Secretary to report more fully on the Risk Register at the Board's first hui in 2022.*
- *Secretariat to circulate to the Board members the standard responses to enquirers about naming New Zealand.*
- *Board members to advise of its talking points on the naming of New Zealand as Aotearoa to the Secretariat for future responses, subject to discussion with the Chairperson.*

Robin Kearns left the hui at 5.02pm

19. Other business | He take atu anō

The Board discussed providing a media release for Pākaraka as its decision on the name shows leadership, therefore it should say something significant. The Board noted the sensitivities of the history of the Maxwell name, which still holds real trauma for local Māori and isn't just an historical topic. The Board noted that nothing can be released until the Minister for Land Information makes the final decision, and he has the first option of making a media release. The Board noted that for the Minister's final decisions a media release was made if the decision is considered to be of public interest.

The Board asked the Secretariat to investigate if it can recycle the packs and courier bags or alternatively not send packs to save plastic and paper. It was suggested that a return bag be sent in future so that the packs can be sent back for recycling.

Actions required

- *Secretariat to include a return bag with future packs posted to the Board.*
- *Secretariat to use paper index/numbered separators, not plastic.*

The hui closed at 5.14pm with the Board's karakia lead by Shane Te Ruki, and all participants joining in.

Certified as a true and correct record of the hui:

Anselm Haanen, Chairperson

Date