

# **Crown Pastoral Land Tenure Review (Under Part 3)**

License name: GLENLEE

License ref: PL5840145.1

# **Analysis of Public Submissions**

This document includes information on the public submissions received in response to an advertisement for submissions on the Preliminary Proposal. The report identifies if each issue raised is allowed or disallowed pursuant to the Crown Pastoral Land Act. If allowed the issue will be subject to further consultation with Department of Conservation, or other relevant party.

The report attached is released under the Official Information Act 1982.

December

## **ANALYSIS OF PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS**

## Statement Pursuant To Sec 88(d) Crown Pastoral Land Act

## Om025 - GLENLEE

#### **Details of licence:**

#### Licence name:

Glenlee

#### Location:

Awatere Valley, Marlborough

#### Licensee:

Philippa Jane Hamilton ( $^{1}/_{4}$  Share), Robert Cameron Hamilton, Andrew James Hamilton, Bruce Ian Hamilton and Jamie Phillip Eliot Hamilton ( $^{1}/_{4}$  Share jointly), and Philippa Jane Hamilton and Glenlee Station Trustees Limited ( $^{1}/_{2}$  Share)

## Public notice of preliminary proposal

### Date advertised:

Saturday 25 May 2019

#### Newspapers advertised in:

Otago Daily Times – Dunedin The Press – Christchurch The Marlborough Express - Blenheim

#### Closing date for submissions:

22 July 2019

#### **Details of submissions received**

## Number received by closing date:

A total of 9 submissions were received by the closing date

## Cross-section of groups/individuals represented by submissions:

Submissions were received from the licensees, one individual, two statutory bodies and five environmental and recreational NGOs.

#### Number of late submissions refused/other:

Nil

#### **ANALYSIS OF SUBMISSIONS**

#### Introduction:

Each of the submissions received has been reviewed in order to identify the points raised and these have been numbered accordingly. Where submitters have made similar points these have been given the same number.

The following analysis:

- 1. Summarises each of the points raised along with the recorded number (shown in the appended tables) of the submitter(s) making the point.
- 2. Assesses each point to determine whether it is a point validly made (that is, relates to the land the subject of the review), is relevant to the review and can be properly considered under the Crown Pastoral Land Act (CPLA). If that is not the case, the point is **disallowed**.
- 3. If the point is **allowed**, it is analysed to determine whether it should be **accepted** or **not accepted**. To determine whether a point should be **accepted** it is evaluated against the following criteria:
  - a) The matters to be taken into account under section 84 of the CPLA; and
  - b) Whether the point introduces new information or a perspective not previously considered; or
  - Whether the point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why
    the submitter prefers an alternative outcome to that provided for in the preliminary
    proposal; or
  - d) Whether the point is a statement of support for the preliminary proposal or aspects of the proposal which can be considered under the CPLA when formulating a substantive proposal.

**Accepted** points will be considered further in formulation of a substantive proposal.

## **Analysis:**

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
1	Provision of additional information in relation to the European history of the area.	1	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies historical values of the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: The additional information provided on the historical values of the land was not known to the Commissioner when devising the preliminary proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
2	Provision of additional information in relation to the Maori history of the area.	1	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies historical and cultural values of the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: The additional information provided about previous Maori occupation of the land is new information that was not available to the Commissioner when devising the preliminary proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
3	The submitter notes that the Teme Hut was constructed by the New Zealand Forest Service and therefore is of the view that the holder should not be compensated if it is retained by the Crown.	1	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Under section 95 of the CPLA a substantive proposal may specify any holder's improvements that are to remain on the land and the holder is entitled to compensation for any such improvements. Determining the ownership of Teme Hut is a relevant consideration for the Commissioner when considering the requirements of section 95.

Rationale for Accept: The additional information provided about the ownership of Teme Hut was not known when the preliminary proposal was devised.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
4	The submitters seek access to the land via the Grey River/Cow Stream. Submitter 3 recommends that a surveyor determine if the existing tracks are legal road.	1,3,7,8	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The Grey River and that portion of Cow Stream referred to in this submission are not within the land under review and are not relevant matters for consideration as part of the review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
5	The submitters seek access to the land via the Teme River/Ferny Gair Conservation Area.	1,3	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The Teme River/Ferny Gair Conservation Area are not within the land under review and are not relevant matters for consideration as part of the review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
6	The submitter seeks access to the land via the Tummil Catchment	1	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The Tummil Catchment is not within the land under review and therefore access over this land is not a relevant matter for consideration as part of the review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
7	The submitter opposes any further grazing of the land.	1	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The purpose of Part 3 of the CPLA is to determine the future use of Crown land the subject of a review. The impact of land uses, in this case grazing, is a relevant consideration under the CPLA when assessing options for the future use of land under review in accordance with the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome to that provided for in the preliminary proposal is preferred.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
8	The submitter supports the proposal in principle.	2	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The submission relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The submission is a statement of support for the preliminary proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
9	The submitter identified the recorded archaeological sites on the land.	2	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies historical values of the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: While the archaeological sites are known, this information is placed in a context that has not been considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
10	The submitter identified recorded archaeological sites in the vicinity of the land.	2	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies historical values on land in close proximity to the land under review that may affect the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: While the archaeological sites are known, this information is placed in a context that has not been considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
11	The submitter requests that a historic assessment be undertaken in relation to the Teme and Penk Huts and environs.	2	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies potential inherent historical values of the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but questions the accuracy of the advice relied on in relation to the historical value of the Teme and Penk Huts and associated areas. It asks that further consideration be given to the protection of the Huts when formulating a substantive proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
12	The submitter requests clarification of the location of a historic Cairn/Trig site relative to the proposed designations.	2	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point notes the importance of accurately identifying the location of historic sites in order to assess the adequacy of the preliminary proposal as it relates to the protection of those values.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons for giving further consideration to the designations proposed as they relate to the protection of the identified historic site.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
13	The submitter asks that any future holder is made aware of the protection of historic sites under the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014.	2	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies the importance of ensuring that historic sites are protected under the substantive proposal.

Rationale for Accept: While the requirements of the Heritage New Zealand Pouhere Taonga Act 2014 are mandatory there is poor awareness of the provisions in the wider community. It is therefore relevant that the Commissioner consider whether or not to include an appropriate statement in the substantive proposal in this regards.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
14	The submitters support the designation of CA1, CA2, CA3, CA4, CA5 and CA6 as Conservation Land.	3,4,5,6,7,8	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point is a statement of support for the preliminary proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
15	The submitters do not support the proposed Special Lease "SL1".	3,4,6,7,8	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome for the area designated as SL1 under the preliminary proposal is preferred.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
16	The submitters provide a range of alternative conditions that should apply if "SL1" is retained.	3,5,7,8	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why alternative outcomes for the area designated as SL1 under the preliminary proposal are preferred.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
17	The submitter believes that there are inaccuracies in the preliminary proposal document and seeks to have them corrected.	3	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point raises concerns about the accuracy of information contained in the preliminary proposal and it is relevant that this information be corrected in a substantive proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
18	The submitter recognises the hunting potential of the land.	3	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies recreational values of the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: The point provides new information on recreational values of the land under review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
19	The submitter provides information on recreational uses of the land.	3	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies recreational values of the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: The point provides new information on recreational values of the land under review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
20	The submitter notes the limitations on use related to lack of practical access.	3	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: Section 83(b) identifies the protection of significant inherent values of Crown Land as an Object of a Part 3 review. The point identifies recreational values of the land under review.

Rationale for Accept: The point provides new information on recreational values of the land under review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
21	The submitter suggests retaining the entire area in full Crown ownership as Conservation Area.	3	Allow in part Disallow in part	Accept

Rationale for Allow in part: The point relates to the objects set out in Section 83 CPLA and also the designation adopted pursuant to Section 86(5)(a)(i) CPLA. The point is therefore allowed to this extent.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome under the CPLA is preferred.

Rationale for Disallow in part: Part 3 of the CPLA does not allow the Commissioner to undertake boundary rationalisation with adjacent properties. The Act also does not allow for the inclusion of other land as part of a Part 3 review. Therefore boundary rationalisation is not an outcome that can be achieved under Part 3. The point is therefore disallowed in this regard. It is however noted that this is a very relevant consideration in terms of this review and a wider brief may need to be considered in drawing an appropriate conclusion to this review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
22	The submitters recommend using FH1 as a trade to obtain areas of existing freehold for conservation.	4,5,6,7,8	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: There is no provision in Part 3 CPLA for the inclusion of neighbouring freehold land in the review. Accordingly the point raised is not a matter than can be considered under the CPLA for this review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
23	The submitters refer to the Kotahitanga mo te Taiao Alliance Strategy and note the contribution that restoration of the land would make to the achievement of that strategy	4	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The Kotahitanga mo te Taiao Alliance Strategy provides for a holistic approach to land management in the Marlborough area. However this strategy does not provide influence and is not recognised in a review under Part 3 of the CPLA. While their strategy is meaningful in the context it cannot be considered and the point is therefore disallowed.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
24	The submitters note the impact of pests and that pest control on the land is essential.	4,9	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: This point relates to the future management of the land under review and as such is not a relevant consideration under the CPLA

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
25	The submitter requests that the land retained by the Crown is appropriately classified immediately as land held as stewardship land is not adequately protected.	5	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome for land designated as conservation area under the preliminary proposal is preferred.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
26	The submitters consider public access to be poorly dealt with in the preliminary proposal and summary paper.	6,7,8	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point provides new perspectives on securing public access to and enjoyment of the land the subject of the review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
27	The submitters note that the retention of the land would enable a contiguous stretch of public conservation land from Blackbirch to Leathem.	6,7,8	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: Part 3 CPLA deals specifically with the land under review. While the wider context is of interest it is not a factor when designating land under Part 3 CPLA.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
28	The submitters oppose any areas currently proposed as conservation areas becoming special lease or freehold and notes that any significant departure from the Preliminary	6,7,8	Allow	Accept

Proposal would need to be re- advertised for public submissions		
SUDMISSIONS		

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and the requirements of the CPLA as they relate to the development of a substantive proposal. It is therefore a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point is a statement of support for aspects of the preliminary proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
29	The submitters express concern that some key information was not available to the public during the consultation.	6,7,8	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The point relates to the public notification process and the information made available through that process rather than the preliminary proposal notified. It is not considered to be relevant to the review.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
30	The submitters reference the role of the hunting community in New Zealand.	7,8	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: In this case the submitters made some generic comments in relation to their role in relation to Crown land and in New Zealand. This information is not specific to the Glenlee review and there is no provision under the CPLA for it to be considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
31	The submitters raise generic points in relation to access to Crown land.	7,8	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The point relates to generic comments about the management of Crown land in the wider context without any direct reference to land under review. The information provided is not considered to be relevant to the land being reviewed.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
32	The submitters request a Qualifying Water Bodies Report to identify legal access routes.	7,8	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: Marginal strips are not a relevant consideration under the CPLA

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
33	The submitter notes that the preliminary proposal is not workable for either party.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome for land designated as conservation area under the preliminary proposal is preferred. It also provides new information and perspectives not previously considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
34	The submitter does not consider that consultation leading to the preliminary proposal was adequate.	9	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The point relates to the consultation on the preliminary proposal rather than the preliminary proposal notified. It is not considered to be relevant to the development of a substantive proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
35	The submitter observes that a legislative change may be required in order to achieve a workable outcome.	17	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: The limitations of the Part 3 review process under the CPLA is not a relevant consideration. The Commissioner is required to undertake the review in accordance with the current legislation.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
36	The submitter outlines an alternative outcome.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome is preferred. It also provides new information and perspectives not previously considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
37	The submitter references the outcomes proposed in a 1991 report on the future for the land.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome is preferred. It also provides new information and perspectives not previously considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
38	The submitter provides information on the current management of the land.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The purpose of Part 3 of the CPLA is to determine the future use of the Crown land the subject of a review. The impact of land uses is a relevant consideration under the CPLA when assessing options for the future use of land in accordance with the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point introduces new information not considered in the development of the preliminary proposal.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
39	The submitter advised (with supporting information) that SL1 was not workable in its current form.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome is preferred. It also provides new information and perspectives not previously considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
40	The submitter notes that the proposal does not deal with the current unworkable boundary issues.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point highlights issues previously considered but articulates reasons why an alternative outcome is preferred. It also provides new information and perspectives not previously considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
41	The submitter believes that protective mechanisms could be used to protect SIVs.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point provides new information and perspectives not previously considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
42	The submitter being the current licence holder indicates a willingness to include existing freehold in the review to achieve a rational outcome.	9	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: There is no provision in Part 3 CPLA for the inclusion of neighbouring freehold land in the review. Accordingly the point raised is not a matter than can be considered under the CPLA for this review

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission number	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
43	The submitter makes recommendations as to the provision of public access.	9	Allow	Accept

Rationale for Allow: The point relates to the preliminary proposal devised for the land concerned and therefore is a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

Rationale for Accept: The point provides new information and perspectives not previously considered.

Point	Summary of point raised	Submission numbers	Allow or disallow	Accept or not accept
44	The submitter would like to see transparency in the DOC approach to land management.	9	Disallow	

Rationale for Disallow: DOC's approach to land management is not a relevant consideration under the CPLA.

## **Summary and Conclusion**

## Overview of analysis:

The nine submitters made a total of 44 points. Of the 44 points made, 30 points related to matters that are relevant to the review and are matters that the Commissioner can consider under the CPLA. 14 points were disallowed on the basis that they were not considered to be relevant to the review and/or matters that could be properly considered under the CPLA.

Of the 30 points allowed all were accepted for further consideration in the adoption of a substantive proposal. Matters carried forward for further consideration in the adoption of a substantive proposal include:

- 1. Additional information on the historical, cultural and recreational values of the land under review.
- 2. A range of alternative outcomes for the future use of the land under review. The analysis highlights the need for further consideration to be given to the proposed special lease designation and designation of the Penk land system.
- 3. The adequacy of the proposed public access to the land under review in particular the lack of public access over the land proposed to be disposed of by special lease.

#### **Generic issues:**

A number of submitters raised concerns about public access to the boundaries of the land under review across surrounding land. These are not matters that the Commissioner can consider as part of the Glenlee review.

A number of submitters recognise the challenges presented by the eastern gridiron freehold blocks and the limitations of Part 3 of the CPLA.

## Gaps identified in the review process:

One submitter expressed concerns with the approach taken to consultation on the preliminary proposal and a number of submitters questioned the adequacy of the information made available when publicly notifying the preliminary proposal.

I recommend approval of this analysis and recommendations

K R TAYLOR

Quotable Value Limited

Themsell R Taylor

Approved/Declined

Commissioner of Crown Lands

Date 29/11/2019

## **Appendices**

- 1. Copy of Public Notice
- 2. List of Submitters
- 3. Copy of Annotated Submissions

# Appendix 1 Public Notice



## **CROWN PASTORAL LAND ACT 1998**

## REVIEW OF OTHER CROWN LAND NOTICE OF PRELIMINARY PROPOSAL

Notice is given under Section 43 of the Crown Pastoral Land Act 1998 by the Commissioner of Crown Lands that he has devised a Preliminary Proposal for the review of Crown land pursuant to section 86 of the Crown Pastoral Land Act 1998 previously held in the Glenlee occupation licence comprised and described in Record of Title 129198 (Marlborough Registry).

#### Legal description of land concerned:

Run 109A, 5787.0046 hectares more or less

## General description of proposal:

- 1. An area of approximately 5,249 hectares to be restored to or retained in full Crown ownership and control as Conservation Area pursuant to Section 86(5)(a)(i) Crown Pastoral Land Act 1998.
- 2. An area of approximately 58 hectares to be disposed of in fee simple under the Land Act 1948 pursuant to Section 86(5)(b)(ii) Crown Pastoral Land Act 1998; subject to:
- 2.1 Part IVA of the Conservation Act 1987;
- 2.2 Section 11 of the Crown Minerals Act 1991.
- 3. An area of approximately 480 hectares to be disposed of by special lease under the Land Act 1948 pursuant to Section 86(5)(b)(i) Crown Pastoral Land Act 1998; subject to:
- 3.1 Part IVA of the Conservation Act 1987;
- 3.2 Section 11 of the Crown Minerals Act 1991.

Further information including a copy of the Proposal document which includes the designations plan plus the draft easement document is available on the LINZ website www.linz.govt.nz. Queries may be directed to LINZ on the following contact information:

Commissioner of Crown Lands Land Information New Zealand Crown Property, 112 Tuam Street, Private Bag 4721

**CHRISTCHURCH 8140** 

Phone: 0800 665 463 (Option 2 then Option 4) Email: pastoral&tenurereview@linz.govt.nz

## Inspections:

Any person wishing to inspect the lease should contact LINZ in the first instance at the above address by 7 June 2019. It is proposed that an inspection of the property will be organised for 14 or 15 June 2019 subject to weather. As the area is not readily accessible inspections will only be possible on the specified dates.

#### **Submissions:**

Any person or organisation may send a written submission on the above Proposal to the Commissioner of Crown Lands at the above address.

All submissions are being collected and held by LINZ either directly or through its agents or contractors. Submitters should note that all written submissions may be made available, in full, by LINZ to its employees, agents and contractors, the Department of Conservation and the public generally.

#### Closing date of submissions:

Written submissions must be received by the Commissioner at the above address no later than 5pm Monday 22 July 2019.

## Appendix 2 List of Submitters

APPENDIX 2: List of Submitters | Submitter

	Stibmitter																2.	oint N	Point Number	<del>ار</del>																	_
		2	m	4	9	^	00	6	10	12	13	14 15	16	17 1	18 19	9 20	21	22 23	23 24	22	92	27 28	8 29	8	33	32 3	33 34	4 35	36	37	38 39	9 40	41	42 4	43 4	44	Т
	1 Bernard Mason	1	1	1 1	-	-						<u> </u>	_		H			-	_			$\vdash$	_		L		H	L		I	-	+-		-		-	Т
	2 Heritage New Zealand			_			,-		+						_			_	_			-	ļ				$\vdash$	<u> </u>		L	┝				$\vdash$	-	_
	3 NZ Walking Access Commission											-	-	,	-	-	-	-	_			-	<u> </u>				$\vdash$					L			-	ļ	7-
	4 Forest & Bird - Top of the South		_								-	-	-		-	_		1	-			L	ļ		İ			<u> </u>			$\vdash$	L	L	+	H	-	7
	5 Federated Mountain Clubs			<u> </u>	_			-	_		-	+-				Ľ		<b>-</b> -	<u> </u>	-		-	_				$\vdash$	L			$\vdash$	<u> </u>		1	+	-	7
	6 NZDA Marlborough Branch			$\vdash$								,-			_			<b></b>	ļ						İ	$\vdash$	-	$\vdash$			$\vdash$	<u> </u>		-		<del> </del>	Т-
	7 NZDA Rakaia Branch			1				-				,-	-					-	_		-	-	-	-	F	-	+	L	L	L	$\vdash$	<u> </u>				<del> </del>	<del></del>
	8 NZDA South Island Access Committee			-				_				·-	-					-	_		F	-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	<u> </u>		+		Ļ	7
	9 Hamilton Family		-	$\vdash$	Ц													-	-			$\vdash$	-		I		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	<u> </u>	1
					$\sqcup$				Ц		Н											H	_			$\vdash$		-			-	<u> </u>		-	-	L	1
																																				ļ	-
Analysis		Number of Times Point Made:	of Time	es Poir	nt Mac	de:		:																													Г
	Point number;	2	3	4 5	ဖ	7	8	9	10 11	12	13	14 15	16	17	18 19	9 20	21	22 23	3 24	52	56	27 28	8 29	30	31	32 3	33 34	4 35	36	37	38 39	9 40	41	42 4	43 44	4	Т
	2 Support		-					H	L		-	v		-	$\vdash$			-			$\vdash$	-				$\vdash$	-	-			<del> </del>	L	1	+	-	-	1
,,,	23 Allow & Accept	<b>-</b> -	-			-		-		-		'n	4	-	-	-		H	2	-	m	~					-	-	-		-	L	I		-	-	Т
	0 Allow & not Accept										-	L						-	_				L		İ	H					-	L		-	-	-	Т
	18 Disallow			3 1	-			_			-	_			<u> </u> _		Ľ	5	<u> </u>		L	3	~	2	~	~	H	-		,-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
	1 Allow/accept in part			-	_				L		-	_	_		$\vdash$		-	-	-	_	ľ	$\vdash$	-				$\vdash$	-			+	1			+	Ļ	
	0 Allow/not accept in part		H	_					L			<u>.</u>			-		L	-	_		l		<u> </u>		İ	-	H	$\vdash$			-			T	+	$oxed{+}$	T
	1 Disallow in part			-				_	L			-			$\vdash$		-	-	_		$\vdash$		-		T	+	_	_			+				+	L	Τ.
4	45		-	_					L		H	-			H			-	1		<u> </u>	H	-		T	t	$\vdash$	L	Γ	T	_	Ļ		-	ŀ	-	-
					-	1	J	١			1	1			-										_				_	-		_	_		_		-