| From:    | Overseas Investment Office                           |
|----------|--|
| То:      | susie.kilty@buddlefindlay.com                        |
| Cc:      | [ s 9(2)(a) ]  |
| Subject: | Variation application received – Creswell NZ Limited |
| Date:    | Saturday, 11 September 2021 9:58:28 a.m.             |

#### ?

Hi Creswell NZ Limited

Thank you for your application to the Overseas Investment Office. Below are the details you have submitted. Your application will now be reviewed to check whether you have provided all of the information we need to carry out our assessment.

We will get back to you within 12 working days.

If your application can be accepted, we will include:

- a request for payment of the application fee
- an indication of current assessment timeframes, and
- more information about the process.

If more information is required, or we cannot accept your application, we will give our reasons for this.

In the meantime, there is more information on our website

Ngā mihi nui The Overseas Investment Office team

#### Application submission details

Submitted on Sat, 11/09/2021 - 09:58

Submission ID: 4077

Submitted values are:

Start

status ready

**Application type** Variation

## **Application detail**

## Details

**Original Consent number(s)** 201620096

**Consent Holder** 

Creswell NZ Limited

#### Assets relating to original consent

A freehold and leasehold interest in approximately 6.2719 hectares of land at 57 Johnson Road, Otakiri, Bay of Plenty and comprising the following computer freehold register: SA25C/985 nationAct 1982

#### Applicant's address for service in New Zealand

c/o- Buddle Findlay Level 16, Aon Centre 1 Willis Street Wellington Central Wellington 6011 Attention: Susie Kilty / Jayden van Leeuwen

#### **Requested decision date**

05/11/2021

#### **Reason for requesting this date**

Creswell NZ requests the OIO make a decision on this variation application as soon as practicable. This is because the OIO's decision will inform Creswell NZ's litigation strategy in the current appeals, as well as if it needs to consider acquiring the land and undertaking the risk of later applying for a variation of the consent conditions relating to the implementation of the Business Plan.

## **Contact details**

**Consent Holder contact name** Michael Gleissner

**Contact email** s 9(2)(a) ]

**Consent Holder contact phone number** (2)(8)

**Contact person name** Susie Kilty

**Contact email** susie.kilty@buddlefindlay.com

Best contact phone number +6444987356

**Role in application** Legal representative What law firm are you from (if applicable)? **Buddle Findlay** 

Do you want to add another contact person? Yes

**Contact person name** Jayden van Leeuwen

**Contact email** javden.vanleeuwen@buddlefindlav.com

Best contact phone number +64 4 462 0401

**Role in appl ication** Legal representative

ber the official Information Act 1982 What law firm are you from (if applicable)? **Buddle Findlay** 

## **Invoice details**

Name and company for invoice Creswell NZ Limited

#### Postal address for invoice

c/o- Buddle Findlay Level 16, Aon Centre 1 Willis Street Wellington Central Wellington 6011

## **Defined terms**

#### **Defined terms**

- **Terms:** Act
  - Meaning: Overseas Investment Act 2005
- Terms: Appealed Consents Meaning: The subset of Resource Consents that are the subject of a series of appeals, as outlined in this variation application
- Terms: Business Plan Meaning: Has the same meaning as in the OIO Consent - the business plan set out in Appendix 14 of the Application Letter
- Terms: Creswell NZ Meaning: Creswell NZ Limited
- Terms: Nonafu Spring Meaning: Nongfu Spring Co., Ltd

Terms: OIO Meaning: Overseas Investment Office

- Terms: OIO Consent Meaning: Consent 201620096
- Terms: Resource Consents **Meaning:** Has the same meaning as in the OIO Consent – the resource consents from the Whakatane District Council and the Bay of Plenty Regional Council which the Consent Holder needs to im plement the Business Plan

## Variation info

Vary a consent or conditions of consent Yes

**Revocation of conditions** No

ation Act 1982 Have you applied for a variation for this consent before? No

Have there been any changes to the Consent Holder since the consent was granted? Yes

Give a brief overview of the changes to the Ownership & Control of the Consent Holder. If key individual(s) have changed, please provide all information and proof about the new key individual(s) - including their full name, position, date position assumed, ownership, control and the corporate structure

This section repeats information advised to the OIO on 9 June 2020 and 7 July 2020. Specifically, in anticipation of Creswell NZ's parent company, Nongfu Spring, listing on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange, the individuals with control of Nongfu Spring, other than Mr Shanshan Zhong and Mr Shu Zi Zhong, changed. Specifically, the following individuals were appointed as directors of Nongfu Spring:

- Mr Zhen Guo;
- Mr Li Zhou;
- Ms Zhenhua Zhou;
- Mr Yuan Liao:
- Mr Stanley Yi Chang;
- Mr Lei Bob Yang; and
- Mr Yuan Lu.

The required details for these individuals was provided to the OIO in the June 2020 and July 2020 correspondence, but for ease of reference, that information is provided in the tables below, and passports for these individuals are attached as Appendix 01.

For completeness, the listing of Nongfu Spring occurred on 8 September 2020. A structure diagram showing the current legal and beneficial ownership of Creswell NZ and Nongfu Spring is attached as Appendix 02. As shown in the structure diagram, Mr Shanshan Zhong continues to hold a substantial majority of shares in Nongfu Spring (83.97%), both directly (17.15%) and indirectly via Yangshengtang Co., Ltd (66.82%). The IPO therefore diluted Mr Zhong's direct and indirect holdings from before the IPO (17.86% and 69.58%

#### **ROP/IWC Individuals**

 First name (in English): Zhen Last names (in English): Guo Full legal name in non-English characters: 郭振 Role: Executive Director and Deputy General Manager, Nongfu Spring Date person became ROP/IWC: Appointed as an Executive Director on 15 December 2019 and appointed as Deputy General Manager on 11 March 2020 on Act 1982 Passport number: Passport exp Nationality: Residing coun Date of birth: NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: N/A Ever applied for a visa to travel to New Zealand?: No First name (in English): Li Last names (in English): Zhou Full legal name in non-English characters: 周力 Role: Executive Director and Deputy General Manager, Nongfu Spring Date person became ROP/IWC: Appointed as an Executive Director on 3 September General Manager on 21 July 2019 2019 and appointed Passport number: Passport exp Nationality: Residing coun Date of birth: NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: N/A Ever app lied for a visa to travel to New Zealand?: Yes First name (in English): Zhenhua Last names (in English). Zhou Full legal name in non-English characters: 周震華 Role: Executive Director and Financial Principal, Nongfu Spring Date person became ROP/IWC: Appointed as an Executive Director on 3 September 2019 and appointed as the Financial Principal on 20 November 2007 Passport number: Passport exp Nationality: Residing coun Date of birth NZ Inland Rev nue number, if held: N/A Ever applied for a visa to travel to New Zealand?: Yes • First name (in English): Yuan Last names (in English): Liao Full legal name in non-English characters: 廖原 Role: Executive Director, Nongfu Spring < b>Date person became ROP/IWC: Appointed as an Executive Director on 6 March 2020 Passport number: Passport exp Nationality: Residing country:

| Date of birth: [S 9(2)(a)]  |
|---|
| NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: N/A  |
| Ever applied for a visa to travel to New Zealand?: Yes                        |
| First name (in English): Stanley Yi   |
| Last names (in English): Chang  |
| Full legal name in non-English characters: 张翌轩                                |
| Role: Independent Non-Executive Director, Nongfu Spring                       |
| Date person became ROP/IWC: Appointed as an Independent Non-Executive         |
| Director on 6 March 2020  |
| Passport number: [ s 9(2)(a) ]  |
| Passport exp  |
| Nationality: [\$ 9(2)(a) ]  |
| Residing coun   |
| Date of birth: [s 9(2)(a)]  |
| NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: N/A  |
| Ever applied for a visa to travel to New Zealand?: No                         |
| First name (in English): Lei Bob  |
| Last names (in English): Yang   |
| Full legal name in non-English characters: 楊磊                                 |
| Role: Independent Non-Executive Director, Nongfu Spring                       |
| Date person became ROP/IWC: Appointed as an Independent Non-Executive         |
| Director on 3 Septem  |
| Passport number: s 9(2)(a)  |
| Passport exp  |
| Nationality: <sup>s 9(2)(a)</sup>   |
| Residing coup   |
| Date of birth   |
| NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: N/A  |
| Ever applied for a visa to travel to New Zealand?: No                         |
| • First name (in English): Yuan   |
| Last names (in English): Lu   |
| Full legal name in non-English characters: 呂源                                 |
| Role: Independent Non-Executive Direc tor, Nongfu Spring                      |
| Date person became ROP/IWC: Appointed as an Independent Non-Executive         |
| Director on 3 September 2019  |
| Passport number: [S 9(2)(a)]  |
| Passport exp  |
| Nationality: [S 9(2)(a)]  |
| Residing coun [s 9(2)(a)]   |
| Date of birth: [S 9(2)(a)]  |
| NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: N/A  |
| Ever applied for a visa to travel to New Zealand?: No                         |
|   |
|   |
| ROP/IWC Entities  |
|   |
| <ul> <li>Full legal name of entity in English: Creswell NZ Limited</li> </ul> |
| Type of entity: New Zealand Limited Company                                   |

Jurisdiction: New Zealand

Unique identifier: 6135349 (company number); 9429043377411 (NZBN) NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: 121-238-721

Full legal name of entity in English: Nongfu Spring Co., Limited
 Full legal name in non-English characters: 农夫山泉股份有限公会 会会

Type of entity: Company listed on Hong Kong Stock Exchange Jurisdiction: China, People's Republic of **Unique identifier:** 91330000143995391Q NZ Inland Revenue number, if held: N/A

#### Upload passports of all individuals in colour and relevant ownership and control documents showing change to the Consent Holder

- Appendix 01 Passports of new Directors.pdf (1.06 MB)
- Appendix 02 Current Structure Diagram.pdf (49.11 KB)

formation Act 1982 Are you seeking a time extension to comply with your conditions? Yes

#### **Condition deadline(s)**

• Consent condition number: 2 Current deadline: 28/02/2022 Proposed deadline: 31/07/2024

#### Tell us when you became aware that you needed this extension

As a preliminary point, the OIO's webform requires the selection of a specific date for both the current deadline, and the proposed new deadline. However, as is clear from the OIO Consent and the below, the dates relate to a particular event, which has not yet occurred. Accordingly, simply identifying a specific date does not reflect the approach taken by the decision-makers in the consent conditions as imposed. The date selected above was selected because the field is required, although it is reasonable to expect that the Appeals process (discussed below) would be completed within this time frame.

The first relevant deadline is the consent "use-by-date", which provides as follows:

2. The consent will lapse if the Investment has not been acquired by and transferred to the Consent Holder by the earlier of:

(a) 6 months of the Resource Consent Date; or

(b) February 2022.

In addition to the above, the deadlines for other conditions are defined with reference to the "Resource Consent Date". Resource Consent Date is defined as follows:

Resource Consent Date means the date that the last resource consent the Consent Holder requires to implement the Business Plan commences in accordance with section 116 of the Resource Management Act 1991.

To extend the use-by-date the consent holder requests that the "use-by-date" is replaced with the following:

2. The consent will lapse if the Investment has not been acquired by and transferred to the Consent Holder by the date that is 6 months after the Resource Consent Date.

To extend the specified time frames for the other conditions, the consent holder requests

that the definition of Resource Consent Date is amended to read as follows:

Resource Consent Date means the date that is the day after all appeals on the Resource Consents have been determined and all avenues for further appeals have been exhausted.

A marked-up copy of the OIO Consent, showing the requested changes, is attached as Appendix 03.

As explained further below, since obtaining the OIO Consent, Creswell NZ has been pursuing the Resource Consents. Several of the required Resource Consents have been subject to appeals (the Appealed Consents).

Most recently, on 29 July 2021, the Court of Appeal granted leave for opponents to appeal to the Court of Appeal. At this point, it became clear that an extension of the lapse date would be desirable to allow for the legal process relating to the appeals of the Appealed Consents to be completed.

In order to ensure that Creswell NZ is able to implement the proposed investment set out in the Business Plan, and rely on the Resource Consents that have been granted, Creswell NZ seeks an extension of the timeframe in which it must acquire the land (the use-by-date).

# Tell us about the events and circumstances in which you have come to require a time extension

Special condition 1 of Creswell NZ's OIO Consent states that "the consent holder must not give effect to the transaction until all Resource Consents have been obtained." Resource Consents is defined to mean "the resource consents from the Whakatāne District Council and the Bay of Plenty Regional Council which the consent holder needs to implement the business plan."

-The Appealed Consents-

The information below recaps and elaborates on information already provided to the OIO through annual reporting by Creswell NZ under the obligations of its OIO Consent.

The Bay of Plenty Regional Council and Whakatāne District Council granted Creswell NZ the majority of the required Resource Consents in August 2018. For completeness, those resource consents are:

• OSET Discharge (discretionary activity to Discharge Secondary Treated Wastewater to Land via Low Pressure Effluent Distribution (LPED) trenches), RM17-0424-DC.01;

• Discharge to Water (Discretionary activity to Discharge Treated Stormwater and Treated Process Wastewater to Water) (Hallett Drain), RM17-0424-DC.02;

• Discharge to Water (Discretionary activity to Temporarily Discharge Sediment Contaminated Stormwater to Water), RM17-0424-DC.03;

• Earthworks or Excavation (Carry Out Earthworks), RM17-0424-LC.01;

• Ground Water Take (discretionary activity to Take and Use Groundwater from a bore), RM17-0424-WT.01; and

• Change of consent conditions for the existing land use consent (61/4/817) (Variation of existing consent 20595):

o Land use consent for disturbance of contaminated soil,

o Land use consent to enable excavation within 60 of the toe of the Tarawera River stopbank.

However, these consents were appealed by various groups opposed to Creswell NZ's

acquisition of the land and the proposed investment. In May 2019 the Environment Court heard the appeals to the Appealed Consents. The Environment Court issued an interim decision in Creswell NZ's favour on 10 December 2019, subject to consent conditions being finalised. Those conditions were finalised in an Environment Court decision on 26 June 2020.

In January 2020, Te Rūnanga Ngāti Awa and Sustainable Otakiri Incorporated both lodged appeals in the High Court against the Environment Court's 10 December 2019 interim decision. In February 2020, two further appeals were filed by Ngāti Pikiao Environmental Society and Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Te Rangi Iwi Trust. The hearing of the appeals took place at the end of July 2020. In a judgment of 17 December 2020, the High Court dismissed the appeals.

However, in early February 2021 Te Rūnanga Ngāti Awa, Sustainable Otakiri Incorporated, Te Rūnanga o Ngāi Te Rangi Iwi Trust and Ngāti Pikiao Environmental Society lodged applications for leave to appeal further, to the Court of Appeal. The appellants sought declarations and orders quashing the Environment Court's decision and directing its reconsideration. Creswell NZ opposed all four applications for leave, but in a judgment of 29 July 2021, the Court of Appeal granted leave to appeal on five questions of law.

For completeness, the Appealed Consents technically commenced on 26 June 2020, when the Environment Court issued its final decision as described above. However, the effect of the Appealed Consents has been stayed, pending the resolution of the appeals.

-Additional Resource Consent required-

In addition to those Appealed Consents, as advised to the OIO in Creswell NZ's July 2021 annual reporting letter, one additional resource consent for the use, storage, and management of hazardous substances is still to be acquired. Creswell NZ currently intends to have the consent in hand by the end of this year.

-Time required to resolve the appeals-

As mentioned above, the Resource Consents that are subject to appeal have technically commenced (notwithstanding that their effect has been stayed), and Creswell NZ currently intends to obtain the outstanding hazardous substances consent (and have it commence) before February 2022.

However, as the OIO will appreciate, the outcome of the appeals for the Appealed Consents may impact on Creswell NZ's ability to give effect to the Business Plan. Creswell NZ therefore requests an extension of the timeframe within which to acquire the land, and consequentially the dates by which other actions must be completed.

-Variation requested-

The duration of the litigation process is uncertain, and in order to provide time for the Court of Appeal hearing and judgment, as well as the possibility of a further appeal to the Supreme Court if the appellants are unsuccessful (as they have been at Council level, in the Environment Court, and at High Court level), an extension to the use-by-date contained in special condition 2 is required.

In particular, Creswell NZ requests that special condition 2 be phrased as a length of time (6 months) dating from the resolution of all appeals, as opposed to a specific date. This is

on the basis that the litigation process is lengthy (as illustrated in the following section) and there are a number of factors that are either unknown or outside Creswell NZ's control (including Court of Appeal timeframes and the possibility of appeals to the Supreme Court).

#### Tell us what you have done to comply with the conditions

Creswell NZ has at all times diligently pursued all avenues through which to give effect to the proposed investment in the land, including promptly applying for the necessary Resource Consents, and consistently conducting itself as a model litigant in all appeals against the resource consents granted.

In particular:

• Creswell NZ applied for regional resource consents in July 2017, and district consents in September 2017.

• Following a hearing held in April - May 2018, a decision granting the consents was issued on 11 June 2018.

• The costs Creswell NZ incurred in applying for the resource consents were in excess of (\$ 9(2)(b)

• On 3 and 4 July 2018 three Environment Court appeals were lodged (one was withdrawn prior to hearing). Between lodgement of the appeals and the Environment Court hearing (which took place from 20 to 24 May 2019), Creswell NZ used its best endeavours to resolve and/or narrow the appeals, including at mediation in November 2018.

• The Environment Court issued an interim decision on 10 December 2019, close to 6 months after the hearing, dismissing the appeals (subject to the consent conditions being finalised). This decision was released well outside of the Court's estimated three-month timeframe for issuing decisions. Creswell NZ responded promptly, contacting the other parties prior to Christmas to discuss conditions and continuing communications early in the New Year.

• Creswell NZ complied with all deadlines associated with the Environment Court proceedings - for example, by meeting its deadline for filing conditions. However, it became clear during this period that the appellants preferred longer timeframes - for example, the appellants applied in January 2020 to suspend the proceedings, an application which was opposed by Creswell NZ, and also applied for filing extensions. As mentioned, Creswell NZ met its deadlines and was committed to the prompt resolution of the matter, in order to be able to progress the acquisition and the Business Plan, but is cognisant that the appellants have different views on the appropriate timeframe for resolving matters. In any event, the Environment Court's final decision was ultimately issued on 26 June 2020. • The costs Creswell NZ incurred in responding to the Environment Court appeals (including legal, expert and court fees) amounted to circa (\$ 9(2)(b) For completeness, the Environment Court made costs orders in Creswell NZ's favour, collectively for \$90,000. • On 17 and 20 January 2020 two High Court appeals were filed against the Environment Court's December 2019 decision (ie, interim decision). Two further appeals were filed on 14 and 17 February. On 18 February 2020 Creswell NZ filed an application seeking a priority hearing fixture.

From February to June 2020 Creswell NZ continued to follow up with the High Court on the status of its priority application concerning the appeals of the interim decision. During a 4 June 2020 judicial conference the Court noted 27 – 30 July 2020 were the earliest hearing dates available, and the appeals would be set down for hearing on those dates.
The High Court's decision was issued on 17 December 2020, again outside of the Court's three-month guideline for releasing decisions.

• Creswell NZ incurred costs in excess of  $\begin{bmatrix} s & g(2)(b) \\ c_{1} & c_{2} \end{bmatrix}$  defending the High Court appeals.

• Between 4 and 11 February 2021 four parties sought leave to appeal to the Court of Appeal, which Creswell NZ opposed. The leave application was heard on the papers on 24

May 2021 (despite multiple requests from Creswell NZ that it be considered earlier if possible).

• The Court's decision (granting leave to appeal) was released on 29 July 2021.

• On 29 July 2021 and 2 August 2021, Creswell NZ sought to file an application for entry onto the "fast track" or alternatively a priority fixture. However, the Court of Appeal advised that it would not accept an application for the fast track until notices of appeal are filed by the appellants. Those notices were subsequently filed on 25 August 2021.

• On 12 August 2021 Creswell NZ sent a letter to the appellants offering to pay the Court's scheduling fee, and to waive the usual security for costs, if the appellants would agree to file their notices of appeal early, and support Creswell NZ's fast track application. That offer has not been accepted.

• As soon as the appellants filed their notices of appeal (on 25 August 2021), Creswell NZ promptly re-filed its fast track / priority application. Since then, Creswell NZ has been corresponding with the Court about the appellants' respective positions on an expedited hearing; three appellants have consented to this (with Creswell NZ agreeing that the Court can waive the usual requirement for them to pay security for costs), and the other appellant has effectively also consented, on the proviso that Creswell NZ agree to a waiver of security and pay the scheduling fee, which Creswell NZ has agreed.

• To date, Creswell NZ has incurred in excess of  $(s \ 9(2)(b))$  in costs in the Court of Appeal proceedings.

• Creswell NZ is currently progressing its application for the outstanding hazardous substances consent, and intends to have that consent in hand by the end of the year.

## Are you seeking to vary the special conditions of your consent? No

#### Are you seeking a change to the consent wording? No

#### Tell us the impact if the variation is not granted

If the OIO Consent is not varied as requested, the position will be that the Resource Consents subject to appeal have commenced, and the outstanding hazardous substances consent will likely be in hand and also commenced before February 2022, and Creswell NZ will be in the position of needing to acquire the land before the OIO Consent passes the use-by-date.

However, while Creswell NZ has been successful at all stages of the judicial process so far, changes could be made to the Appealed Consents that would undermine or prevent the proposed implementation of the Business Plan. It is therefore Creswell NZ's preference to allow the appeals to be completed before it acquires the land. Creswell NZ envisages that this is also consistent with the intent of the conditions as imposed.

For reference and confirmation, the benefits of the proposed investment set out in the Business Plan include the proposed investment of approximately  $\begin{bmatrix} s & 9(2)(b) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  in developing the facilities on the land. This will involve the installation of high value production equipment including new highspeed bottling lines and a blow moulding machine, as well as developing the plant facilities. Creswell NZ also intends to create at least  $\begin{bmatrix} s & 9(2) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  jobs associated with its investment in the plant, and expects production at the site to increase from  $\begin{bmatrix} s & 9(2)(b) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  bottles (FY2017) to a total of  $\begin{bmatrix} s & 9(2)(b)(0) \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$  bottles by the time the development of the plant is complete (depending on the bottle size produced). These substantial benefits were recognised when consent was originally granted to Creswell NZ, and are reflected in the special conditions that are included in Creswell NZ's OIO Consent. Creswell NZ remains fully committed to implementing the proposed investment and

complying with the conditions of its consent, and delivering substantial benefit to New Zealand.

It would be an unsatisfactory result if Creswell NZ was put in a position of having to technically acquire the land before the OIO Consent use-by-date, but before the litigation is concluded. As will be clear from this application, Creswell NZ is caught in a situation in which it has limited control, and is unable to implement the development of the land contained in the Business Plan. While strictly speaking, Creswell NZ could (once the hazardous substances consent has been obtained) acquire the land, Creswell NZ is committed to allowing the judicial process to progress to its proper conclusion.

For completeness, Creswell NZ is aware that it has the option of acquiring the land once the final Resource Consent (the Hazardous Substances consent) is obtained, and then seeking variations to the timeframes relating to the implementation of the Business Plan e.g., construction and employment deadlines, given it is currently unable to proceed, pending resolution of the appeals. However, Creswell NZ believes it is preferable to await the outcome of the appeals process, hence the timing of the current variation application.

The information in this application for variation is highly commercially sensitive. Accordingly, Creswell NZ seeks confidentiality for all information contained in, attached to, or provided with or in relation to this application. If a request for information is received under the Official Information Act 1982, in relation to either the fact of this application or the information contained in the application, Creswell NZ requests that the information is withheld under that Act. Creswell NZ also requests that it be notified of any request made under the Official Information Act for information in this application, or in relation to the existence of this application, and be given the opportunity to comment as to whether the information remains commercially sensitive at the time the request is made.

## Upload a marked-up version of the original consent showing the requested variation(s)

• Appendix 03 – Marked-up Consent.pdf (136.18 KB)

## **Upload documents**

#### Appendices

- Appendix reference: Appendix 01
- Name of document: Passports of new Directors
- Appendix reference: Appendix 02
   Name of document: Current structure diagram
- Appendix reference: Appendix 03 Name of document: Marked-up consent

### Declaration

#### Who is submitting the application?

I am the Applicant (the Investor themselves, one of the directors of the company, company's in-house lawyer)

### Name of person submitting application and declaring below

Michael Gleissner

I have read, understood, and agree to the privacy notice. Yes

I have uploaded all documentation relevant to the claims I made in this application. Yes

I solemnly declare that all the information in this application form is true and correct.

Yes

#### **Print to PDF instructions**

eleased under th

If you want to send a copy of this screen to others for review, save it as a PDF. To find out how, see <u>Print to PDF</u>.

This is an automated message, please do not reply. If you need to amend your details, please contact oio@linz.govt.nz